Table 3.

People in Poverty by Selected Characteristics: 2012 and 2013

(Numbers in thousands, confidence intervals [C.l.] in thousands or percentage points as appropriate. People as of March of the following year. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see ftp://ftp2.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/techdocs/cpsmar14.pdf)

	2012					20131					Change	in noverty
	Below poverty					Below poverty				Change in poverty (2013 less 2012) ^{2,*}		
Characteristic			90		90			90	-	90		
	Total	Number	percent C.I. ³ (±)	Percent	percent C.I. ³ (±)	Total	Number	percent C.I. ³ (±)	Percent	percent C.I. ³ (±)	Number	Percent
PEOPLE Total	310,648	46,496	899	15.0	0.3	312,965	45,318	1,014	14.5	0.3	- 1,178	*-0.5
Family Status In families Householder Related children under age 18. Related children under age 6 In unrelated subfamilies. Reference person Children under age 18 Unrelated individuals	252,863	33,198	823	13.1	0.3	254,988	31,530	845	12.4	0.3	*-1,669	*-0.8
	80,944	9,520	230	11.8	0.3	81,217	9,130	247	11.2	0.3	*-390	*-0.5
	72,545	15,437	431	21.3	0.6	72,573	14,142	445	19.5	0.6	*-1,295	*-1.8
	23,604	5,769	221	24.4	0.9	23,585	5,231	225	22.2	1.0	*-538	*-2.3
	1,599	740	99	46.3	4.9	1,413	608	114	43.0	6.3	-132	-3.3
	641	278	36	43.3	4.6	595	246	48	41.3	6.4	-32	-2.0
	855	440	65	51.4	5.3	714	340	69	47.7	6.7	*-99	-3.7
	56,185	12,558	344	22.4	0.5	56,564	13,181	414	23.3	0.6	*623	*1.0
Race ⁴ and Hispanic Origin White	242,147 195,112 40,125 16,417 53,105	30,816 18,940 10,911 1,921 13,616	709 595 422 191 458	12.7 9.7 27.2 11.7 25.6	0.3 0.3 1.1 1.1	243,085 195,167 40,615 17,063 54,145	29,936 18,796 11,041 1,785 12,744	816 722 506 176 513	12.3 9.6 27.2 10.5 23.5	0.3 0.4 1.3 1.0	-880 -144 130 -136 *-871	-0.4 -0.1 Z -1.2 *-2.1
Sex Male Female	152,058	20,656	464	13.6	0.3	153,361	20,119	568	13.1	0.4	-537	*-0.5
	158,590	25,840	529	16.3	0.3	159,605	25,199	573	15.8	0.4	-641	*-0.5
Age Under age 18Aged 18 to 64Aged 65 and older	73,719	16,073	447	21.8	0.6	73,625	14,659	455	19.9	0.6	*–1,415	*–1.9
	193,642	26,497	522	13.7	0.3	194,833	26,429	648	13.6	0.3	–68	–0.1
	43,287	3,926	174	9.1	0.4	44,508	4,231	227	9.5	0.5	*305	0.4
Nativity Native born Foreign born Naturalized citizen Not a citizen	270,570	38,803	827	14.3	0.3	271,968	37,921	943	13.9	0.3	-882	-0.4
	40,078	7,693	304	19.2	0.6	40,997	7,397	373	18.0	0.8	-296	*-1.2
	18,193	2,252	159	12.4	0.8	19,147	2,425	173	12.7	0.9	173	0.3
	21,885	5,441	254	24.9	1.0	21,850	4,972	311	22.8	1.2	*-469	*-2.1
Region Northeast. Midwest South West	55,050	7,490	302	13.6	0.6	55,478	7,046	437	12.7	0.8	-444	-0.9
	66,337	8,851	388	13.3	0.6	66,785	8,590	430	12.9	0.7	-261	-0.5
	115,957	19,106	686	16.5	0.6	116,961	18,870	706	16.1	0.6	-236	-0.3
	73,303	11,049	409	15.1	0.6	73,742	10,812	434	14.7	0.6	-237	-0.4
Residence Inside metropolitan statistical areas Inside principal cities Outside principal cities Outside metropolitan statistical areas ⁵	262,949	38,033	914	14.5	0.3	265,915	37,746	1,007	14.2	0.4	-287	-0.3
	101,225	19,934	610	19.7	0.5	102,149	19,530	842	19.1	0.7	-404	-0.6
	161,724	18,099	669	11.2	0.4	163,767	18,217	738	11.1	0.4	118	-0.1
	47,698	8,463	639	17.7	0.9	47,050	7,572	665	16.1	1.0	*-891	*-1.6
Work Experience Total, aged 18 to 64. All workers Worked full-time, year-round Less than full-time, year-round. Did not work at least 1 week.	193,642	26,497	522	13.7	0.3	194,833	26,429	648	13.6	0.3	-68	-0.1
	145,814	10,672	294	7.3	0.2	146,252	10,736	347	7.3	0.2	64	Z
	98,715	2,867	133	2.9	0.1	100,855	2,771	155	2.7	0.2	-96	-0.2
	47,099	7,805	233	16.6	0.5	45,397	7,965	322	17.5	0.6	160	*1.0
	47,828	15,825	369	33.1	0.6	48,581	15,693	515	32.3	0.9	-132	-0.8
Disability Status ⁶ Total, aged 18 to 64	193,642	26,497	522	13.7	0.3	194,833	26,429	648	13.6	0.3	-68	-0.1
	14,996	4,257	161	28.4	0.9	15,098	4,352	233	28.8	1.2	95	0.4
	177,727	22,189	478	12.5	0.3	178,761	22,023	567	12.3	0.3	-166	-0.2

[∠] Hepresents or rounds to zero.

*An asterisk preceding an estimate indicates change is statistically different from zero at the 90 percent confidence level.

¹ Data are based on the CPS ASEC sample of 68,000 addresses. The 2014 CPS ASEC included redesigned questions for income and health insurance coverage. All of the approximately 98,000 addresses were eligible to receive the redesigned set of health insurance coverage questions. The redesigned income questions were implemented to a subsample of these 98,000 addresses using a probability split panel design. Approximately 68,000 addresses were eligible to receive a set of income questions similar to those used in the 2013 CPS ASEC and the remaining 30,000 addresses were eligible to receive the redesigned income questions. The source of the 2013 data for this table is the portion of the CPS ASEC sample which received the income questions consistent with the 2013 CPS ASEC, approximately 68,000 addresses.

² Details may not sum to totals because of rounding.

³ A 90 recent confidence interval is a measure of an estimate particle particle to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the confidence interval is a measure of an estimate to the

³ A 90 percent confidence interval is a measure of an estimate's variability. The larger the confidence interval in relation to the size of the estimate, the less reliable the estimate. Confidence intervals shown in this table are based on standard errors calculated using replicate weights. For more information see "Standard Errors and Their Use" at <ftp://ftp2.census.gov/library/publications/2014/demo/p60-249sa.pdf>
⁴ Federal surveys now give respondents the option of reporting more than one race. Therefore, two basic ways of defining a race group are possible. A group such as Asian may be defined as those who reported Asian and no other race (the race-alone or single-race concept) or as those who reported Asian regardless of whether they also reported another race (the race-alone-or-in-combination concept). This table shows data using the first approach (race alone). The use of the single-race population does not imply that it is the preferred method of presenting or analyzing data. The Census Bureau uses a variety of approaches. Information on people who reported more than one race, such as White and American Indian and Alaska Native or Asian and Black or African American, is available from Census 2010 Vallety of applications in inflation of people with oppined many the fact state of a state of a fact of a

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2013 and 2014 Annual Social and Economic Supplements